$_{ m JS~44~(Rev.~10/20)}$ Case 1:21-cv-12548-RMB-SAKV POCUPORT STIPE 96/15/21 Page 1 of 8 PageID: 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS.		TIONS ON NEAT FAGE C	71 11113 F C	DEFENDAN	TS				
Susan Cammisa				Life Insurance Company of America					
301 S. Warwick Road				1601 Chestnut Street					
Magnolia, NJ 08046 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Camden				Philadelphia, PA 19102 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	NLY)		
				NOTE: IN LAN THE TR	D COND ACT OF	EMNATION CASES, USE TE LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Linda M. Lopez, Law Office of Eric A. Shore				Attorneys (If Kno	own)				
	1500 JFK Blvd, Suit		hia PA	19102					
Tel: 215-627-99		c 12 10, 1 iiiiaacip	1110 1 1 1	19102					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in o	One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF For Diversity Cases O		NCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in and One Box for I		 Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			n of This State	PTF	DEF 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	incipal Place	PTF D	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	n of Another State	2	2 Incorporated <i>and</i> P of Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS			- I -	Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions					
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENALT 5 Drug Related Seizure		BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False 0	Claims Act	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 8 0 Other		423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Ta 3729(a	nm (31 USC a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical				PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State F	Reapportionme 1st	ent
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury				820 Copyrights 830 Patent	430 Banks 450 Comm	and Banking	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal				835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deport	tation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability				New Drug Application 840 Trademark		teer Influenced of Organization	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT		LABOR		880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consu		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	□ ⁷¹	0 Fair Labor Standards Act		Act of 2016	_ `	SC 1681 or 16 none Consume	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	72	0 Labor/Management		SOCIAL SECURITY	_ `	tion Act	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	_ E	Relations		861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/		,
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	285 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	⊢	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Excha	ties/Commodi nge	ties/
DE LA DECEMBRA	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act		864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other	Statutory Action	ons
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		0 Other Labor Litigatio 1 Employee Retirement		865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricu	ıltural Acts ınmental Matte	ers
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	1377	Income Security Act		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	_	m of Informat	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	:			870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General				or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	896 Arbitra	ation iistrative Proce	edure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		26 USC 7609	_	view or Appea	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe		2 Naturalization Applic 5 Other Immigration	ation			y Decision tutionality of	
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions			State S		
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition							
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of							
V ODICIN		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i. 1 Original 2 Rei	• /	Remanded from	□4 Reins	stated or 5 Tra	ınsferred	d from ┌┐ 6 Multidistri	ict 🗆 8	Multidistric	ct
		Appellate Court	Reop	ened	other Di ecify)			Litigation - Direct File	-
	29 U.S.C. 8 1	tute under which you ar $1002(2)(A)$.	e filing (I	Oo not cite jurisdictiona	ıl statutes	s unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca ERISA								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	(D)	EMAND \$ 15000	00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	_	n complaint: No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 6/15/2021		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD	l X				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				J,		y F			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	E	MAG. JUI	OGE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

SUSAN CAMMISA, :

: CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

NO.

v. :

•

LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

OF NORTH AMERICA,

:

Defendant. :

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, SUSAN CAMMISA, by and through her attorney, Linda M. Lopez, Esq. of the Law Offices of Eric A. Shore, P.C., as and for her Complaint against Defendant, LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA, hereby avers as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, SUSAN CAMMISA, (hereinafter "Plaintiff") was and still is a citizen and resident of the State of New Jersey, residing at 301 S. Warwick Road, Magnolia, New Jersey 08049.
- 2. Defendant, LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA, (hereinafter "Defendant") has offices located at 1601 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19192.

JURISDICTION

3. Jurisdiction of the Court is based upon 29 U.S.C. §§ 1132(e)(1) and 1132(f), which give the District Courts jurisdiction to hear civil actions brought to recover benefits due under the terms of an employee welfare benefit plan. Jurisdiction is also founded on 28 U.S.C. §

- 1331 because this action arises under 29 U.S.C. § 1001 <u>et. seq</u>. (Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, hereinafter "ERISA").
- 4. Venue in the District of New Jersey is appropriate because Defendant conducts business and is subject to personal jurisdiction in the judicial district and maintains contacts in the judicial district sufficient to subject it to personal jurisdiction.
- 5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(1) and § 1391(c), this action is properly venued in the District of New Jersey.

FACTS

- 6. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff was employed as an Elementary School Teacher for the Diocese of Camden New Jersey, and at all times was a participant and/or beneficiary under the Long Term Disability ("LTD") plan.
- 7. Plaintiff had a strong work history working for the Diocese of Camden New Jersey prior to filing for LTD insurance benefits.
- 8. The LTD plan is an employee welfare benefit plan specifically covered under ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2)(A).
- 9. At all times material herein, Defendant made and/or participated in making all benefits decisions under the LTD plan.
- 10. During Plaintiff's employment with the Diocese of Camden New Jersey, Defendant issued a long term group disability income policy (hereinafter the "Policy").
- 11. At all times hereinafter mentioned, said Policy of insurance was issued for the benefit of certain eligible employees in exchange for the payment of premiums by the Diocese of Camden New Jersey and/or its employees.

- 12. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff was and is an employee eligible for long term disability benefits as an insured under the Policy.
- 13. Said Policy provided, among other things, that long term disability insurance benefit payments will be made to Plaintiff in the event that she becomes disabled as a result of injury or sickness. Under the Policy,

After Disability Benefits have been payable for 24 months, the Employee is considered disabled if, solely due to Injury or Sickness, he or she is: 1. unable to perform the material duties of any occupation for which he or she is, or may reasonably become, qualified based on education, training, or experience; and 2. unable to earn 60% or more of his or her Indexed Earnings.

- 14. Plaintiff stopped working on or about September 4, 2017.
- 15. Plaintiff initially applied for and collected LTD insurance benefits through May 2, 2019.
- 16. By letter dated May 2, 2019, Defendant notified Plaintiff that her LTD benefits would be discontinued as of that date.
- 17. On October 30, 2019, Plaintiff submitted a written appeal of the adverse determination made on May 2, 2019.
- 18. By letter dated April 15, 2020, Plaintiff was notified that her appeal was successful and that her LTD benefits were reinstated.
- 19. However, by letter dated April 30, 2020, Plaintiff was again advised that her LTD benefits would be discontinued as of March 3, 2020.
- 20. On October 26, 2020, Plaintiff submitted a written appeal of the April 30, 2020 adverse determination.
- 21. On April 8, 2021, Defendant issued a final administrative denial of Plaintiff's claim for LTD benefits.

- 22. As of March 3, 2020, Plaintiff's LTD coverage was in full force and effect and Plaintiff was an eligible employee.
- 23. From March 3, 2020 to the present, Plaintiff has continued to be disabled within the meaning and pursuant to the terms of her Policy coverage as she is unable to perform, on a sustained basis, either her own or any reasonable occupation, as that term is defined in the subject Policy.
- 24. Plaintiff's disability is caused by complications, impairments and symptoms of moderate to severe central stenosis at C3-4 and C4-5, mild central spinal stenosis at C2-3, degenerative disc disease at L5-S1, cervical radiculopathy, osteoarthritis of the right knee, rheumatoid arthritis, lumbar osteoarthritis, widespread degenerative disc disease especially at the L5-S1 level, severe osteoarthritis in the bilateral hands, history of left knee total replacement with revision and aseptic loosening, need for right-sided total knee replacement, hypertension, prediabetes, obesity, and hurthle cell neoplasm s/p thyroidectomy, with resultant symptoms of swelling, pain, difficulty walking, difficulty bending, joint instability, stiffness, muscle weakness, numbness, tingling, insomnia, and fatigue.
- 25. Plaintiff cooperated with the Defendant in all respects, provided proper proof of loss in support of her claim, and otherwise complied with the terms and conditions of the Policy regarding the filing and maintenance of the claim.
- 26. Pursuant to the Policy, Defendant has been obligated to continue the periodic payment of monthly LTD benefits to Plaintiff since March 3, 2020.
- 27. Despite Plaintiff's continued total disability, Defendant has denied all LTD insurance benefit payments to Plaintiff since March 3, 2020 and continues to refuse to pay benefits pursuant to the Policy, although payment thereof has been duly demanded.

- 28. Said refusal on the part of the Defendant is a willful and wrongful breach of the Policy's terms and conditions.
- 29. Defendant afforded little weight to the opinions of Plaintiff's treating physicians who clearly opined that she has been unable to work since March 3, 2020, due to her disabling conditions and complications from her impairments and medical conditions.
- 30. Defendant's denial of Plaintiff's disability insurance benefits is unreasonable and unsupported by substantial evidence and, as such, constitutes a breach of fiduciary duty.
- 31. Defendant's unreasonable and unsupported denial of Plaintiff's disability insurance benefits is evidenced by the number of procedural irregularities in its claim handling, including but not limited to: the failure to consider the impact of Plaintiff's physical and mental conditions and limitations on her ability to perform all of the essential duties of her regular occupation or any occupation; the refusal to consider Plaintiff's credible subjective complaints about her inability to work; the reliance upon a selective review of medical records to reach a result oriented claim determination; the failure to utilize appropriately qualified and unbiased medical personnel to reach decisions and/or render opinions on levels of impairment; the biased and flawed vocational consideration on Plaintiff's claim; the failure to perform a fair and neutral evaluation of Plaintiff's medical condition and associated restrictions and limitations; and other biased claim handling.
- 32. Defendant's claim handling resulted in numerous violations of 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503-1 et. seq.
- 33. Defendant's claim handling failed to provide Plaintiff with a full and fair review of her claim.

- 34. Defendant's claim handling demonstrates a bias against Plaintiff's claim due to its impact on Defendant's financial situation and frustrated Plaintiff from receiving a full and fair review of her claim.
- 35. Plaintiff has attempted to exhaust all administrative appeals and remedies to the extent they exist pursuant to the conditions of the employee benefit plan.
- 36. By reason of the foregoing claims conduct, Defendant failed, by operation of law, to establish and follow reasonable claims procedures that would yield a decision on the merits of her claim pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503(1).
- 37. Because Defendant failed to satisfy the minimal procedural safeguards set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503(1), Defendant's adverse benefit determination is not entitled to any judicial deference.
 - 38. Defendant willfully failed to comply with ERISA regulations.
- 39. Monthly disability insurance benefit payments to Plaintiff are continuing to be due and payable by Defendant with the passage of each month.
- 40. Plaintiff is entitled to receive the total life-time benefit of the plan discounted to present value, due to Defendant's arbitrary and capricious decision to deny Plaintiff's benefits.
- 41. Plaintiff is entitled to receive, in addition to the benefits due under the plan of insurance, reimbursement for reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 1132(g).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Susan Cammisa, demands judgment in her favor and against Defendant together for:

A. Payment of all benefits in arrears due and owing since the denial of benefits, plus interest;

- B. The total lifetime benefit under the plan discounted to present value;
- C. Attorneys' fees and costs of suit;
- D. Interest and delay damages; and,
- E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

By:

Linda M. Lopez, Esq.

Attorney I.D. No. 017592006

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C. 1500 John F. Kennedy Blvd, Suite 1240

Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 627-9999

Attorney for Plaintiff, Susan Cammisa

Date: 6/15/2021